



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,961	05/04/2001	Gary V. Stephenson	7784-000215	9323
27572 7	7590 09/20/2004		EXAM	INER
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	LIPMAN, JACOB		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2134	
		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\bigvee$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/849,961	STEPHENSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jacob Lipman	2134		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	TO LY IO OFT TO EVOIDE OF	AONTHAN EDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	04 May 2001.			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applica	tion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □				
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
TT) THE Caut of declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No		
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>				
application from the International Bu		Troophou in the realistic stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t received.		
	BEST AVAI	LABLE COPY		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	′	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/849,961

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-17, and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mobley et al., US Patent number 5,708,963.

With regard to claims 1, 2, 10, 14, 15, and 25, Mobley discloses bulk encrypting (scrambling) a second data stream (broadcast polls) at a second location (satellite) and sending the data to a first location (column 4 lines 4-11) and packet encrypting (clear header) a first data stream (poll results) at a first location (column 15 lines 44-55) and sending the data to a second location (column 12 lines 7-12).

With regard to claims 3, 6, 12, and 17, Mobley discloses spreading communications between land and air (column 2 lines 55-67).

With regard to claims 4, 7, 13, and 19, Mobley discloses error correction code in first data stream (column 15 lines 58-62) and second data stream (column 15 lines 1-3).

With regard to claims 5, 9, 11, and 16, Mobley discloses modulating the signals (column 18 lines 56-63).

With regard to claims 20, 21, 26, and 27, Mobley discloses compressing information before transmission (column 7 lines 54-59).



Application/Control Number: 09/849,961

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claims 22-24, Mosley discloses the first location is airborne and the second is on the ground (column 1 lines 8-17).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dennis, US Patent number 5,365,447, in view of Mobley.

With regard to claims 8 and 18, Mobley discloses bulk encrypting as outlined above, but does not mention a applying a chipping code. Dennis discloses that chipping is common is satellite communications (column 5 lines 61-65). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply chipping to Mobley's satellite communications to prevent interference, Dennis' stated motivation (column5 lines 64-65).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT TY